

Blasphemy *or a* Mirror?

On religious hypocrisy, painful truth,
and why I laughed

Jeffrey E. Hansen, PhD



Prologue

I shared a Jim Carrey comedy sketch with a dear pastor whom I respect greatly. I found it absolutely hilarious. He took offense and raised the concern of blasphemy. His response grabbed my attention because he is somebody I respect. This is a man whose faith, friendship, and ministry matter to me. If something I found funny struck him as dishonoring God, I wanted to examine that. I did not want to dismiss his concern simply because we had different reactions.

So I thought about it. I looked more carefully at the word blasphemy. I considered what I had been laughing at, what he might have heard, and whether those were the same thing. And, between you, me, and the fence post, I still found the sketch absolutely hilarious. That deserves an explanation.

What Does Blasphemy Mean?

In Christian usage, blasphemy generally means speaking or acting with contempt, insulting irreverence, or defiance toward God or what is held sacred. It is a serious word because it concerns how we treat God's character, name, and authority.

But applying the word requires discernment. Offending a Christian does not, by itself, establish that someone has committed blasphemy. Criticizing a pastor does not automatically amount to insulting God. A religious leader's conduct and God's character cannot simply be treated as interchangeable.

There is also a difference between struggling honestly with God and treating Him with contempt. Scripture gives us people who grieve, protest, question, and cry out in confusion. The Psalms contain anguish. Job asks difficult questions. Honest spiritual struggle is part of the biblical story.

Nor should every discussion of blasphemy be confused with the particular warning about blasphemy against the Holy Spirit in Mark 3:28–30. That passage arises in the context of people attributing Jesus' work to an unclean spirit. Christians differ in how they explain the full implications, but it is a specific and weighty teaching, not a label to attach casually to an uncomfortable joke.

The question I needed to ask was what this sketch was treating with contempt. Was God the target? Was it faith itself? Or was it the behavior of people who claim divine authority while making a mockery of the responsibilities that come with it? A sketch can mix those things. Its intended target does not guarantee that every line or gesture lands well. I can recognize that without pretending that my own response was something other than laughter.

And What Is Hypocrisy?

Hypocrisy involves professing beliefs, virtues, or moral standards that our behavior contradicts, especially while presenting ourselves as more righteous than we are. Ordinary human inconsistency needs to be distinguished from a calculated performance. Every one of us falls short of our professed values. I certainly do. A person who admits that gap and accepts responsibility is doing something very different from someone who conceals it, condemns others, and uses his position to avoid scrutiny.

Religious hypocrisy becomes especially damaging when a person borrows the authority of God to protect that performance. A leader publicly condemns behavior he privately practices. He demands accountability while arranging exemptions for himself. He invokes forgiveness when consequences arrive but shows little concern for the people carrying the damage. That was the hypocrisy I recognized in Carrey's character.

What Was I Laughing At?

The sketch presents a religious leader being confronted about his behavior. The accusations and admissions pile up: financial misconduct, sexual impropriety, self-indulgence, and personal excess. Then comes the dramatic repentance, followed by the convenient use of spiritual authority when accountability gets uncomfortable.

An associate receives a supposed phone call from God. Speaking in tongues becomes part of the performance, and suddenly divine authority is available to turn the tables on the people asking questions. Carrey seemed to squeeze every recognizable angle into one outrageous character. The exaggeration was funny because there was something painfully familiar beneath it.

I was watching a man use God as his personal shield. Anyone who challenged him could suddenly be treated as someone challenging God. That maneuver deserves to be exposed. When a leader makes his own wishes indistinguishable from God's will, disagreement becomes spiritually suspect and accountability becomes nearly impossible.

My friend may have heard sacred language being made into a joke. I heard a joke exposing a man's exploitation of sacred language. That difference matters.

The Religious Celebrity Business

I think back to Jim and Tammy Faye Bakker, Jerry Falwell, the PTL empire, and television ministries such as the 700 Club. I also think of Joel Osteen and the lavish world of celebrity ministry. These are different people and organizations, with different histories. Naming them together does not mean that each committed the same misconduct. Tammy Faye was not charged in Jim Bakker's fraud case, and I am not extending his criminal conduct to everyone on that list. My broader concern is the mixing of faith, money, celebrity, and spiritual authority.

Jim Bakker's fraud provides a concrete example of how terribly that trust can be betrayed. The wider spectacle of religious wealth raises its own questions for me. The mansions, the private jets, the relentless fundraising, and the spiritual explanations offered for extraordinary privilege can become difficult to reconcile with the sacrifices asked of ordinary believers.

When religious leaders exploit people financially while smiling, invoking God, and presenting themselves as spiritual shepherds, it makes me angry. People give out of faith and hope, sometimes from what little they have. To take advantage of that generosity while shielding oneself behind a sacred calling is appalling. Such leaders take money, but they also betray trust and hope. That conduct deserves scrutiny. And yes, it deserves to be poked at. A pulpit should not place anyone beyond accountability or satire.

Why This Is Personal

I love pastors. Some of the finest people I know have given their lives to that calling. They sit with grieving families, answer difficult calls, carry burdens few people see, and keep showing up when there is little recognition and no applause. The pastor whose response prompted this reflection is someone I hold in that kind of regard. Carrey's character does not describe him. Nor do I believe it describes most pastors.

But far too many have wounded people deeply, sometimes devastating their faith. I have encountered some of that damage professionally. I have also lived close to it personally.

My identical twin brother, Gregg, once asked a pastor a poignant question: "Pastor, do you ever doubt?" Gregg was young and struggling with his faith. As I remember the encounter, the answer was yes, but with the understanding that you never let the congregation know. That wounded him deeply. He needed to know that his questions could be spoken aloud

and that faith could make room for an honest struggle. What he took away was that doubt had to be hidden behind the appearance of certainty.

I cannot reduce his journey of faith to one conversation. But that encounter contributed to his turning away for a time. He eventually returned, and I understand why he had been hurt. A pastor is entitled to privacy and does not need to share every inner struggle with a congregation. Still, when a young person reaches for reassurance that he is not alone in his doubts, the answer can either open a door or leave him feeling stranded outside it.

When Betrayal Spreads

Our former youth pastor, who also officiated at my wedding, later left his wife and had affairs. His hypocrisy wounded Gregg, me, my father, and many other people in our family and circle of friends. The pain extended far beyond the people directly involved. When someone has helped shape your understanding of God, that kind of betrayal reaches into the deepest parts of your spiritual life. You may question your judgment, your community, and the faith you associated with that person.

Gregg gave up on life almost three years ago, amid deep depression. I know I cannot attribute the despair that took him to any one experience, relationship, or disappointment. But I sometimes wonder what place those earlier spiritual wounds occupied in his suffering. Did the response he received when he asked about doubt, or the later betrayal by a pastor we trusted, leave him with pain that continued to matter? Did those experiences make it harder for him to reach for faith or trust when he most needed support? I do not know.

I cannot assign those men responsibility for his death. I also cannot pretend I have never wondered whether the wounds they left contributed in some way, small or large, to the despair he eventually experienced. Those are questions I may never be able to answer. I carry them as his brother, alongside my love for him and the grief of losing him. When I write about the damage religious hypocrisy can cause, Gregg is part of what makes the subject so painfully personal.

I also think of another pastor I knew years ago whose ministry fell apart because of sexual misconduct. These things are not abstract to me. I have seen the pain they cause. The same concern applies when someone secretly uses pornography while publicly presenting himself as above the struggles he condemns in others. The problem involves the concealment, the double standard, and the use of authority to protect the deception.

I do not equate private doubt with sexual betrayal. These are different matters. But these experiences have made me sensitive to the distance that can exist between a public image of spiritual certainty and the complicated human being behind it. There is something healing about honest acknowledgment of our humanity. There is something damaging about maintaining appearances at the expense of truth.

Humor Is Part of How I Survive

I laugh at things that are painful. I laugh at absurdity. I laugh at myself, which provides a fairly dependable supply of material. Humor is part of how I survive life. Sometimes laughter gives me enough breathing room to look directly at something that otherwise makes me angry or breaks my heart. Finding a portrayal funny does not mean I find the underlying harm trivial. The suffering can be serious and the exposure of the performance can still be hilarious.

And if Carrey did an equally merciless sketch about psychologists, I suspect I would laugh just as hard. Give him our professional jargon, our pretensions, our occasional certainty about things we understand only partially, and our ability to conceal ordinary human shortcomings behind impressive credentials. My profession certainly has material to offer. Then, after laughing, I might need to ask whether some part of the joke belonged uncomfortably close to home. That is one of the useful things humor can do. It lowers our defenses long enough for recognition to get through.

Looking at My Own Hypocrisy

But I need to go further than imagining a joke about my profession. I have had to confront this conflict in my own life, and there was nothing amusing about it at the time. As I have written elsewhere, there was a period when my life fell apart. I had overextended myself financially and was dealing with deeply painful personal issues. The details are not necessary here. What matters is that I was trying to provide therapy to hurting souls while I was devastated myself.

The conflict was merciless. I was sitting with people who were seeking healing while struggling profoundly in my own life. I could not escape the question of whether I was presenting something professionally that I could no longer honestly sustain personally. To me, continuing as though nothing had changed would have been hypocrisy. Eventually, I stepped down from my work as a psychologist, took time away, and began attending to my own healing. I ultimately gave up that practice.

I still carry guilt that it took me a while to step down. I wish I had recognized sooner what needed to happen and acted sooner on what I was beginning to understand. I could not keep pretending that I could promote healing in the same way while my own life was coming apart. That tension drove me to make dramatic changes, but those changes did not erase the wounds or settle every question I carry about that period.

I am not telling this story to suggest that I am better than the people whose hypocrisy troubles me. I am telling it because I have had to look at my own. I know something about the painful distance between a professional role and the person struggling behind it. When I ask religious leaders to examine that distance in their lives, I cannot exempt myself from the same examination. I still carry the weight of what it took me time to face.

A Word About Carrey

Carrey has also spoken publicly about Jesus, forgiveness, suffering, and grace. I would not claim to know the full nature of his personal faith from those statements, but I find them relevant to the broader picture of the man doing the comedy.

They do not settle whether this particular sketch crosses a line. A person's religious identification does not make every joke appropriate. Still, I would be cautious about assuming that someone who satirizes a corrupt pastor must therefore hold God in contempt. The performance needs to be considered for what it actually portrays.

My Friend's Concern Still Matters

I do not want to turn my pastor friend into another punchline. His objection deserves the consideration I want for my own response. He may experience the use of sacred language within a sexualized comedy sketch as irreverent in itself. I can understand that concern while still seeing the target of the joke differently.

I also have a vague recollection of another occasion, some time ago, when my humor or something I said landed poorly with him. I may be remembering it incorrectly. Either way, I can be more thoughtful about what I share. I do not need to send him everything that makes me laugh. Respecting a friend includes taking his sensitivities seriously.

But I cannot honestly pretend that I no longer find the sketch funny. Friendship should allow us to explain our differences without manufacturing agreement. His response invited reflection. I am grateful for that.

When Humor Points Toward Something Sacred

For me, this sketch points toward behavior that itself dishonors God: using His name to cover greed, exploitation, and a refusal to take responsibility. Whether every instance warrants the theological label of blasphemy is a question that deserves care. But invoking God to protect deception is a grave misuse of His name. That should trouble us at least as much as a comedian's exposure of it.

Christianity has room for forceful criticism of religious hypocrisy. Jesus spoke sharply about leaders whose public religious performance concealed failures of justice, mercy, and faithfulness. Matthew 23 is hardly a gentle endorsement of protecting religious appearances. That does not give every comedian a blank check. It does remind me that exposing religious hypocrisy is compatible with taking faith seriously.

Sometimes a ridiculous character makes a pattern visible that a carefully worded criticism never quite brings into focus. Different things reach different people. Humor is one of the avenues through which truth reaches me.

Why I Still Laughed

I examined my laughter. Underneath it, I found recognition, anger at betrayal, memories of people I love, and relief at seeing a manipulative performance exposed. I still love pastors. I still love the God they seek to serve. And I still find Carrey's portrayal absolutely hilarious. The hypocrisy being exaggerated has caused real wounds in people I love, and in me. Sometimes being able to laugh at it helps me name it and loosens its hold.

I want a faith spacious enough for honest doubt, humble enough for correction, and courageous enough to recognize its own hypocrisy. I want people who have been wounded by religious leaders to know that naming what happened does not require them to abandon God. And I want to remain willing to examine my own life, including the places where my conduct has fallen painfully short of what I hoped to offer others.

Sometimes the work begins with a difficult conversation. Sometimes it begins with a question a young believer finally finds the courage to ask. And sometimes it begins when we laugh, recognize something painfully familiar, and say, "We need to talk about that."

Once again, thank you, Jim Carrey, for allowing me to laugh at the pain.